

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9

Analysis of:  
**SECTION B: BASELINE ASSESSMENT**  
**CHAPTER B7a: BIODIVERSITY**

Daniel S. Song  
University of Pennsylvania  
Department of Biology  
01 October 2012

10

11 PS6 – protect/conservе biodiversity; maintain benefits from ecosystem; promote sustainable  
12 management

13

14         The flora analysis that ESIA cites as “well studied” in the past “decade” (Page 16) is, in  
15 fact, mis-leading. They have only cited four (4) studies in the area by these authors. In addition,  
16 they cite, as an example, of extensive studies of “1,400 10x10-m plots” of the Gobi A and B  
17 areas (Page 16). In fact, this extensive data collection is only 0.14 km<sup>2</sup>, whereas the area of Gobi  
18 B, alone, is approximately 9,000 km<sup>2</sup> in area (Souris et al. 2007). Additionally, the area is  
19 smaller than the expected area of influence around the project 15,000 km<sup>2</sup> (ESIA p. 106); this  
20 does not take into account the indirect/cumulative impact area of 46,000 km<sup>2</sup> (ESIA p. 106).  
21 This would mean that their flora analysis is based on less than 1% of the area of the potentially  
22 affected area.

23

24         Furthermore, the ESIA cites work that dismisses the area as “poor” in plant species  
25 richness (e.g. number of species present; Page 16). Unfortunately, the cited work (Von Wehrden  
26 et al. 2009) does not mention the fierce on-going debate in the ecological literature examining  
27 the relationship between ecosystem stability and plant species present. There is plenty of  
28 evidence that plant species richness is affected by disturbance (see review: Worm and Duffy  
29 2003). If there are few endemic species, the causal relationship between disturbance and species  
30 richness cannot be determined by the work ESIA cites. Since Von Wehrden and colleagues’  
31 work was purely observational and non-experimental, we cannot know the level of stability of  
32 the ecosystem and flora.

32

33         There is not enough work done (although extensive work, hundreds of high profile papers  
34 have been published) to know what the relationship is between biodiversity and ecosystem  
stability (McCann 2000). The fact that there are few endemic species already highlights a

35 problem of long-term stability. The fewer species in a system the less stable the system is  
36 (McCann 2000). In an extensive, 21 study review, data supported the notion that in an already  
37 highly productive environment, such as tropical forests, the higher the number of species the  
38 more productive and stable the system (CBD 2009). The deserts of southern Mongolia are  
39 already sparsely populated, disturbance will almost definitely change the ecosystem; a mining  
40 operation may create a permanent stable ecosystem: one where there is no productivity (e.g.  
41 death of all wildlife species).

42 A basic oversight of the ESIA is the effect of climate change and predicted changes that  
43 may occur. Mongolia is currently on pace for significantly higher increase in temperature than  
44 the global average. The temperature increase in Mongolia over the past 50 years has been  
45 documented to be 1.8°C (whereas the global average is 0.65°C; Namkhajantsan 2006). There is  
46 no conclusive data that shows how climate change (e.g. increased temperatures, increased  
47 variability of precipitation) will affect wildlife (particularly plants) and especially of the studies  
48 done in Mongolia (Liancourt et al. 2012).

49 The ESIA takes on a strategy to present purely descriptive data from limited literature.  
50 The key issue that the ESIA glosses over is the impact of the disturbance and how the  
51 disturbance will *interact* with the current ecosystem. There is a broad subfield of ecology that  
52 examines exactly this issue: additive vs. non-additive effects. In other words, We know from  
53 extensive experimental and theoretical studies, disturbance is likely to favor the few species that  
54 can adapt to the changing conditions (Worm and Duffy 2003). In addition, where ecological  
55 stressors are high (e.g. drought, ephemeral running water, low nutrients, high metal content) can  
56 push an ecosystem to further degradation of the environment.

57           The birds of southern Mongolia would be most at risk due to power lines/infrastructure  
58 that would be required by this project. The bustard is known to have a more limited field of  
59 vision compared to other large birds (Martin and Shaw 2010). Janss and Ferrer (2000) also  
60 found that among the birds crossing power lines, the bustard had the highest likelihood of  
61 colliding with power lines. The co-existence of bustards and power lines is unlikely to be  
62 mitigated by current power line design. The bustard's field of vision is the main culprit (Martin  
63 and Shaw 2010).

64           There are six (6) endangered species and vulnerable species, either by National categories  
65 or IUCN categories (ESIA table 7.4). Importantly, the ESIA overlooks a critical aspect of  
66 conservation biology: meta-population dynamics. Meta-population dynamics describes how  
67 individuals migrate between population sources to population sinks (Hansson 1991; Anderson et  
68 al. 2009). Although populations of plants and animals may be found elsewhere, there is  
69 immigration and emigration between patches of population. Only describing the current, static,  
70 state of the populations does not fully reveal precarious stability of these animals. Spatial scale  
71 figure (4.5.1) does not accurately portray the differences in services at different spatial scales.  
72 Carbon sequestration, in the form of storage is important at all levels. Pollination is also  
73 important at all scales, although it occurs "locally" each locality differs in what factors control  
74 pollination (e.g. climate, plant species).

75           The area is not well studied and in response to Criterion 5, it is not possible to make any  
76 recommendations. The evolutionary processes (unique or unusual) are not known; desert  
77 ecosystems, although seemingly harsh and with few visible on-going processes, is a system that  
78 is well distributed across the globe. There is a large literature that examines the conservation  
79 costs of rehabilitating desert systems and it proves to be "ecologically and economically

80 difficult” (Fleishman et al. 2003). The lack of ecological/evolutionary processes is not  
81 encouraging.

82 Criterion 6 underestimates the impact of global climate change regulation, since I have  
83 already documented the greater than average increase in temperatures in Mongolia  
84 (Namkhajantsan 2006). Mongolia is projected to experience great change in their ecosystems in  
85 the next 70 years. Specifically it is projected that there will be biome switching (Batima et al.  
86 2006). The south is expected to see increased desertification (Batima et al. 2006). There will be  
87 a great deal of consequences to ecosystem services such as carbon storage.

88 Additionally, Criterion 7 overlooks the interactive effect of current climate change  
89 scenarios. The region cannot be viewed in isolation. The most recent projects (Batima et al.  
90 2006) predict that much of Mongolia’s forests will switch to grasslands or deserts. Combined  
91 with projected population growth estimates doubling of the population by 2050 (United Nations  
92 2011) coupled with the shrinking of the forests (Batima et al. 2006), ecosystem services will be  
93 strained. This is not trivial, especially considering the increasingly sedentary society as the  
94 decades pass. Rehabilitation of mined areas are difficult, as stated above (Fleishman et al. 2003),  
95 thus if there is ever a need to utilize the massive area of the southern Gobi, without proper  
96 monitoring, the Gobi will not be an option.

97 Commentary:

98 The ESIA does not seem to provide answers to key conservation considerations (e.g.  
99 meta-population dynamics) that would seem to be essential in assessing if IFC PS6 standards are  
100 being met. The ESIA attempts to address these issues by asserting “biodiversity monitoring”  
101 would be sufficient to ensure meeting PS6 standards. Unfortunately, even the ESIA  
102 acknowledges, that some losses in terms of ecosystem services and biodiversity will not be

103 offsetable. The only way to find out whether losses will be offsetable or not, as set forth in the  
104 ESIA, is when the loss is incurred; it is plain for anyone reading this document that at the point  
105 when it is discovered that a loss is not offsetable, it is, in fact, not offsetable. The point of PS6  
106 would be to show prior to activities whether losses will be offsetable.

107         Of course my understanding of the ESIA is strictly focused on the plant/animal  
108 ecological aspects. The geological and hydrological aspects are tantamount and hopefully are  
109 being considered with equal importance. From what I understand about this mining expedition,  
110 it will not be stopped; but the lack of detail in the proposed monitoring programme is alarming.  
111 There will need to concerted efforts, including planning, to ensure proper monitoring, especially  
112 given the destructive nature of mining.

113

114 References

115

116 Anderson, B. J., H. R. Akçakaya, M. B. Araújo, D. A. Fordham, E. Martinez-Meyer, W.  
117 Thuiller, and B. W. Brook. 2009. Dynamics of range margins for metapopulations under  
118 climate change. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 276:1415–1420.  
119 doi: 10.1098/rspb.2008.1681.

120

121 Batima P. et al. Climate Change Vulnerability and Adaptation in the Livestock Sector of  
122 Mongolia. 2006. Assessments of Impacts and Adaptations to Climate Change: Project No.  
123 AS 06. [http://ipcc-wg2.gov/njlite\\_download.php?id=5854](http://ipcc-wg2.gov/njlite_download.php?id=5854)

124

125 Fleishman, E., N. Mcdonal, R. M. Nally, D. D. Murphy, J. Walters, and T. Floyd. 2003. Effects  
126 of floristics, physiognomy and non-native vegetation on riparian bird communities in a  
127 Mojave Desert watershed. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 72:484–490. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-  
128 2656.2003.00718.x.

129

130 Hansson, L. 1991. Dispersal and connectivity in metapopulations. *Biological Journal of the*  
131 *Linnean Society* 42:89–103. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8312.1991.tb00553.x.

132

133 Janss, G.F.E., Ferrer, M., 2000. Common crane and great bustard collision with power  
134 lines: collision rate and risk exposure. *Wildlife Society Bulletin* 28, 675–680.  
135 <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/3783619>

136

137 Liancourt, P., L. A. Spence, B. Boldgiv, A. Lkhagva, B. R. Helliker, B. B. Casper, and P. S.  
138 Petraitis. 2011. Vulnerability of the northern Mongolian steppe to climate change: insights  
139 from flower production and phenology. *Ecology* 93:815–824. doi: 10.1890/11-1003.1.

140

141 Martin, G. R., and J. M. Shaw. 2010. Bird collisions with power lines: Failing to see the way  
142 ahead? *Biological Conservation* 143:2695–2702. doi: 10.1016/j.biocon.2010.07.014.

143

144 McCann, K. S. 2000. The diversity–stability debate. *Nature* 405:228–233. doi:  
145 10.1038/35012234.

146

147 Namkhajantsan, G. 2006. Climate and climate change of the Hövsgöl region. Pages 63–76 in C.  
148 E. Goulden, T. Sitnikova, J. Gelhaus, and B. Boldgiv, editors. *The geology, biodiversity and*  
149 *ecology of Lake Hövsgöl (Mongolia)*. Backhuys Publisher, Leiden, The Netherlands.

150

151 Schmidt, S. M. 2006. Pastoral community organization, livelihoods and biodiversity  
152 conservation in Mongolia's Southern Gobi Region. Pages 18–29. Retrieved October 1, 2012,  
153 from <http://www.treesearch.fs.fed.us/pubs/22865>.

154

155 Souris, A.-C., P. Kaczensky, R. Julliard, and C. Walzer. 2007. Time budget-, behavioral  
156 synchrony- and body score development of a newly released Przewalski's horse group *Equus*  
157 *ferus przewalskii*, in the Great Gobi B strictly protected area in SW Mongolia. *Applied*  
158 *Animal Behaviour Science* 107:307–321. doi: 10.1016/j.applanim.2006.09.023.

159

- 160 Thompson, I., Mackey, B., McNulty, S., Mosseler, A. (2009). Forest Resilience, Biodiversity,  
161 and Climate Change. A synthesis of the biodiversity/resilience/stability relationship in forest  
162 ecosystems. Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity, Montreal. Technical  
163 Series no. 43. <http://69.90.183.227/doc/publications/cbd-ts-43-en.pdf>  
164
- 165 Worm, B., and J. E. Duffy. 2003. Biodiversity, productivity and stability in real food webs.  
166 Trends in Ecology & Evolution 18:628–632. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2003.09.003.  
167
- 168 United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division (2011): World  
169 Population Prospects: The 2010 Revision. New York. <http://esa.un.org/unpd/wpp/index.htm>